



Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**World Wars Webquest & Argumentative Essay**

**Directions:**

1. Complete the World Wars Webquest located at:  
[http://www.mysocialstudiesteacher.com/wiki/index.php?title=World\\_Wars\\_Webquest](http://www.mysocialstudiesteacher.com/wiki/index.php?title=World_Wars_Webquest)
2. Complete an Argumentative Essay by answering the following prompt:  
Was World War II a continuation of World War I?

**Step by Step Essay Process**

- \_\_\_\_\_ • Create a claim based upon the question “Was World War II a continuation of World War I?”
- \_\_\_\_\_ • Create an introduction with background of both of the World Wars.
- \_\_\_\_\_ • Create a body paragraph using the following guide.
  - \_\_\_\_\_ ○ Use Evidence #1 to affirm/agree with claim
  - \_\_\_\_\_ ○ Use Evidence #2 to affirm/agree with claim
  - \_\_\_\_\_ ○ Create a Counter-Claim
  - \_\_\_\_\_ ○ Use Evidence to refute your Claim
  - \_\_\_\_\_ ○ Use Evidence #3 to affirm/agree with claim (best piece of evidence)
- \_\_\_\_\_ • Create a conclusion relating the Claim to the world today.

Note: You are not limited to 3 pieces to support your claim, or 1 piece of evidence to refute your claim. You may use as much detail as you need. Explain your evidence thoroughly.

**Project Deadlines:**

- Completing Webquest: Fri 3/4/2016**  
**Completing Essay: Mon 3/7/2016**  
**Revision: Mon 3/14/2016**

**This Assignment counts as a classwork grade and the Revision counts as a test/assessment grade.**

Check your progress on Jupiter Ed.

All deadlines are firm deadlines unless discussed with teacher prior to due date.

Assignment may be given up until the close of school at 3:10p on the due date.

## Argumentative Essay Rubric

(6-Traits)	<b>5 Mastery</b>	<b>4 Proficient</b>	<b>3 Basic</b>	<b>2 Standard Not Met</b>	<b>1 Standard Not Met</b>
<b>Claim</b> (Ideas & Org.)	Introduces a well thought out claim at the beginning of the essay	Introduces a claim later in the essay	Claim is not as clear as it should be	Hard to find the claim	No claim
<b>Opposing Claim</b> (Org.)	Acknowledges alternate or opposing claims	Opposing claims are not strong or relevant to the claim	Opposing claims are unclear	Hard to find opposing claims	Opposing claims not addressed
<b>Evidence</b> (Ideas & Org.)	Supports the claim with logical reasoning and relevant evidence, demonstrating a complete understanding of the topic	Supports the claim with reasoning and evidence, and demonstrates some understanding of the topic	Evidence is not relevant or not completely thought out	Lacks evidence and relevance	No evidence to support claims
<b>Words, Phrases, clauses and sentences</b> (Word Choice & Sent. Fluency)	Uses variety words, phrases, and clauses to create cohesion and clarify the relationships among the claim, reasons, and evidence	One or two errors with some variety in word usage, clauses but not enough to cause misunderstandings or harm the relationships of the claims, reasons, and evidence	More than 3 errors with little variety in word choice and clause or phrase usage. Cohesion is harder to follow as a result	Nearly all phrases and clauses are incorrect, or are not used at all. Little cohesion and clarity between claims and evidence.	No cohesion and clarity
<b>Style</b> (Voice & Sent. Fluency)	Establishes and maintains a formal style	Mostly follows formal style	Few informal sections of writing	Casual style and jargon	No formal style looks like a text message
<b>Concluding Statement</b> (Ideas & Org.)	Provides a concluding statement that follows from and supports the argument presented.	Concluding statement mostly supports the argument presented	Concluding statement mentions the argument presented	Concluding statement is incomplete and or doesn't mention argument	No concluding statement
<b>Conventions /Grammar, Usage and Mechanics (GUM)</b>	Demonstrates exceptional command of the conventions of standard written language and is free of errors.	Demonstrates strong command of the conventions of standard written language, having few errors.	Demonstrates proficient command of the conventions of standard written language, with some errors which may confuse meaning.	Demonstrates marginal command of the conventions of standard written language, with frequent errors which confuses meaning.	Demonstrates poor command of the conventions of standard written language.
<b>Research</b>	Uses a wide variety of relevant sources which successfully address the claim/thesis. Sources are correctly cited.	Uses a variety of relevant sources which successfully address the claim/thesis. Most sources are correctly cited.	Uses some sources which begin to address the claim/thesis. Some sources are correctly cited.	Uses few sources which do little to address the claim/thesis. Few sources are cited.	No evidence of research.